STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

5] Applicant would like to sincerely thank Examiner Debnath for conducting a

telephonic interview with Applicant's representative, David S. Thompson on 24 February

2009.

[0006] During the interview, the Examiner and Applicant's representative discussed

distinctions between the claims and the cited Challener and Bailey references. Without

conceding the propriety of the rejections and in the interest of expediting prosecution.

Applicant's representative also proposed possible amendments.

[0007] Based on the conversation with the Examiner, Applicant's representative

understood that claims adjusted in the scope to recite all of the elements of claims 1

and 56, combined, would be probably be allowable over the cited of record. While

Claims 1 and 56 are both independent claims, the Examiner suggested that if combined

in a non-overlapping manner, that he would consider the resulting claims.

[0010] Applicant herein amends the claims consistent with the discussion during the

interview. Accordingly, Applicant submits that the pending claims are allowable over the

cited references of record for at least the reasons discussed during the interview.

Serial No.: 10/700,786 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1664US -19- lee@hayes The Business of IP

www.leehayes.com • 509.324.9256